INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE, LITERATURE AND TRANSLATION STUDIES (IJELR)
A QUARTERLY, INDEXED, REFEREED AND PEER REVIEWED OPEN ACCESS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
http://www.ijelr.in (Impact Factor: 5.9745) (ICI)

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Vol. 12. Issue.2. 2025 (April-June)

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA
2395-2628(Print):2349-9451(online)

Sisterhood, Strategy, and Survival: Women's Alliances in Jane Austen's Novels

Shivani Purohit Pushkarna[1], Dr. Asgar Ali Ansari[2]
[1]Research Scholar, School of Language, Literature & Society, Jaipur National University, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India
Email: shivanipurohit89@gmail.com
[2]Professor, School of Language, Literature & Society, Jaipur National University, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

doi: 10.33329/ijelr.12.2.11

Article information

Article Received:10/03/2025
Article Accepted:17/04/2025
Published online:25/04/2025

Abstract

Jane Austen's novels incisively reflect the socio-political structures governing women's lives in 19th-century British society. With limited legal and economic agency, women often relied on strategic alliances through marriage, friendship, and family for survival and mobility. This paper examines how female networks in *Pride and Prejudice*, *Sense and Sensibility*, *Emma*, and *Persuasion* serve as mechanisms of empowerment and social negotiation, revealing women's navigation of a patriarchal world.

Austen portrays female relationships as emotional support systems and practical strategies for resilience. While marriage dominates, her depictions of friendship and family reveal alternate paths to agency. In *Pride and Prejudice*, Elizabeth Bennet's insistence on marrying for love contrasts with Charlotte Lucas's pragmatic union, reflecting tensions between personal desire and social expectation. *Sense and Sensibility* highlights the Dashwood sisters' solidarity in facing financial and emotional hardships.

In *Emma*, the relationship between Emma Woodhouse and Harriet Smith exposes how even well-meaning interventions reinforce class hierarchies. Meanwhile, *Persuasion* follows Anne Elliot's journey toward personal autonomy, navigating familial pressures and reclaiming self-determination through her reunion with Captain Wentworth.

Though constrained by societal expectations, Austen's women demonstrate resilience through adaptation and subtle defiance. Through calculated marriages, steadfast friendships, and familial loyalty, they forge survival strategies that quietly challenge prevailing gender norms. Austen's nuanced portrayal of female relationships critiques gender, class, and power, revealing the complexity of women's roles and resistance in Regency England.

Keywords: Jane Austen, women's networks, alliances, gender politics, patriarchy, social mobility.

Introduction

Jane Austen's novels depict a world in which women's survival and social success are largely dependent on the formation of strategic relationships. In a society where legal and economic independence was largely inaccessible to women, social connections—particularly those formed with other women—played a crucial role in shaping their destinies. Friendship, sisterhood, and even rivalry were not merely personal experiences but were deeply influenced by economic necessity, social hierarchies, and rigid gender roles. Austen's female characters often pool their emotional, social, and even financial resources, forming alliances as they navigate the pressures of marriage, kinship, and societal expectations. These dynamics reflect not only the personal stakes of intimate relationships but also their broader political implications under 19th-century British patriarchy.

Austen's fiction exposes the intricate socio-economic and political constraints faced by women during the Regency era. In this period, women's lives were often determined by marriage, as legal rights and financial independence remained elusive. Bound by inheritance laws, class structures, and societal norms, women found themselves in a state of chronic economic dependence on male relatives or husbands. Within this limited framework, relationships between women—be they affectionate, strategic, or antagonistic—became essential for survival and social mobility.

The female networks in Austen's novels simultaneously reinforce and subvert patriarchal norms. Characters such as Charlotte Lucas in *Pride and Prejudice* make calculated decisions to marry for financial stability, embracing conformity for security. In contrast, figures like Elizabeth Bennet and Anne Elliot defy social expectations by privileging personal integrity and emotional fulfillment over material gain. Similarly, relationships such as the empathetic bond between Elinor and Marianne Dashwood in *Sense and Sensibility*, and the more hierarchical dynamic between Emma Woodhouse and Harriet Smith in *Emma*, highlight the interplay of class, power, dependence, and emotional support in women's lives.

Feminist scholars such as Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar argue that Austen's portrayal of female friendship reveals both the limitations imposed on women and the quiet persistence of female agency within a patriarchal framework. Claudia L. Johnson, on the other hand, interprets these friendships as subtle forms of political critique, suggesting that Austen's heroines challenge societal norms not through overt rebellion but through intelligent negotiation and emotional resilience.

This paper explores how female networks and friendships in Austen's novels function as both mechanisms of survival and acts of resistance. These relationships illuminate the socio-political undercurrents that shape women's lives and underscore the dual nature of such bonds—as both supportive and constraining forces within a gendered social order.

Elizabeth Bennet and Charlotte Lucas in Pride and Prejudice

One of the most striking examples of female friendship in Jane Austen's *Pride and Prejudice* is the relationship between Elizabeth Bennet and Charlotte Lucas. Their friendship illustrates a fundamental contrast in how women navigated the marriage market—Elizabeth values love and personal fulfillment, while Charlotte prioritizes economic security and social stability. This divergence not only underscores the limited choices available to women in Regency England but also reveals the emotional and ideological complexities within female relationships.

Charlotte's decision to marry Mr. Collins, a man Elizabeth finds pompous and insufferable, is emblematic of the pragmatic choices many women had to make. At 27, Charlotte is considered past her prime for marriage, and her prospects are dim. Her acceptance of Mr. Collins's proposal, though unromantic, is a rational response to the economic realities of her situation. For her, marriage is a form of survival, a necessary compromise in a society that offers few alternatives for unmarried women.

Elizabeth's reaction, by contrast, is one of dismay and moral disappointment. She cannot imagine marrying without love and expresses this conviction candidly, telling Mr. Collins, "*You might not make me happy, and I am sure that I am the last woman in the world who would make you so*" (Ch. 19). Her critique of Charlotte's decision reflects her belief in marriage as a union grounded in emotional compatibility rather than social convenience.

Yet Austen does not overtly condemn Charlotte. Instead, she presents her choice as a calculated, rational act within an unjust patriarchal system. Charlotte sacrifices romantic ideals for financial stability, and in doing so, she highlights the stark socioeconomic limitations placed on women. The friendship between Elizabeth and Charlotte thus reveals how women could remain emotionally connected even as they adopted different survival strategies. Their bond encompasses both solidarity and divergence, showing that female friendships in Austen's novels are not simplistic but deeply layered—capable of withstanding ideological differences shaped by class, gender, and economic pressure.

Ultimately, the relationship between Elizabeth and Charlotte encapsulates a central theme in Austen's work: the tension between personal values and societal expectations. Their differing paths reflect the broader spectrum of women's experiences in a society where every choice comes with a cost, and even friendship must navigate the constraints of patriarchy.

Elinor and Marianne Dashwood in Sense and Sensibility

Sisterhood throughout Austen's works serves typically as an immediate source of emotional and mental sustenance. The Dashwood sisters, Elinor and Marianne, serve as mirrors representing opposite means towards love and conduct in society, with social compliance represented by the restraint of Elinor and social dissent posed by Marianne's ardor. Notwithstanding their contradictions, though, the two form the basis for toughness. Both Marianne's growth into maturity and Elinor's steadiness of feelings showcase the imperative for combining prudence with sensibility. Austen utilizes their relationship to illustrate how sisterhood can function as a way of union for survival against things outside, specifically after their father's death leaves them displaced and subsequently struggling with finances.

Austen explores sisterhood as a central feminine bond in Sense and Sensibility. Elinor and Marianne Dashwood, though intimate, are fundamentally different personalities—Elinor sense (reason and restraint) and Marianne sensibility (emotion and passion). Their reactions to romantic disappointment reveal two common modes of dealing with societal stress.

When Willoughby's unfaithfulness shatters Marianne, Elinor stands by her even as she has internalized her own sorrow over Edward Ferrars. Though Elinor's internal hurt is distinct from Marianne's melodrama, the two women both gain by the other's existence. Once Marianne reaches adulthood, she sees the wisdom in emotional solidity, and Elinor sees the importance in speaking feelings aloud. This pairing demonstrates how love affairs between women function as woman support groups that provide women support during heartache and outside force.

Emma Woodhouse and Harriet Smith in Emma

In Emma, Emma Woodhouse's friendship with Harriet Smith exemplifies the confluence of class and feminine influence. Emma's misplaced efforts at guiding Harriet's romantic choices show the power struggles inherent in women's relationships. Though Emma perceives that she is assisting Harriet, she actually superimposes her own class biases onto her friend. Harriet's ultimate assertion of independence implies a quiet critique of hierarchical friendships and the supposition that women of higher social classes are aware of what is best for women of lower classes. This friendship indicates how female bonds can empower as well as oppress, depending on the distribution of power in them.In Emma, the friendship of Emma Woodhouse and Harriet Smith shows the class-differentiated power

discrepancies that can characterize female friendships. Emma, a wealthy and independent female, patronizes Harriet—a poor girl—attempting to dictate her love life.

Emma demands that Harriet reject Robert Martin, a good farmer, because she believes Harriet is worthy of a "better" match. But Harhar's final decision to pursue her own happiness by marrying Robert Martin thwarts Emma's selfish attempts at control. This is a commentary on the patronizing condescension of upper-class women who believe they can "better" the lives of their lesser friends.

For as literary critic Margaret Kirkham argues, Emma is "a novel about the limits of privilege," demonstrating the manner in which well-meaning intervention can sometimes hinder rather than help. On the final page, Harriet's "Declaration of Independence" records a shift within their friendship, bearing witness that female relations can empower and oppress alike on the basis of power relations and agency.

Marriage as a Socio-Political Alliance

In Austen's books, marriage is not a union of love alone but a marriage of convenience. The absence of economic independence on the part of women rendered them reliant on marriages for financial stability and social reputation. Austen criticizes strictly economic marriages as well as commending those balancing economic needs and compatibility.

Charlotte Lucas and Mr. Collins: A Marriage of Convenience

Charlotte Lucas's marriage to Mr. Collins in Pride and Prejudice is a classic demonstration of the mercenary character of most 19th-century marriages. Charlotte knows she has limited options, and she makes her choice in security rather than in love. Elizabeth does not approve of the decision, but Charlotte's choice is a pragmatic response to women's limitations, indicating the freedom that women had when choosing their futures.

Austen's Criticism of Marriage as a Bargain

While Austen acknowledges the economic need of marriage, she also criticizes the mercantile tendencies of most marriage proposals. Mr. Collins considers marriage as just pragmatism, given that any suitable woman should be grateful for his proposal. His expectation that Charlotte should be content merely because he proposes to give her shelter is a statement of the patriarchal attitude that women will settle for security over felicity.

Austen contrasts Charlotte's union with Elizabeth Bennet's final union with Mr. Darcy, which is balanced:

1. Economic security (Darcy's wealth provides security).
2. Social respectability (Darcy's higher status raises Elizabeth's status).
3. Respect and affection for one another (unlike Charlotte and Mr. Collins, Elizabeth and Darcy share genuine understanding).

Through Elizabeth's story, Austen suggests that economic security is desirable, but a good marriage must also include respect, attraction, and compatibility.

But Charlotte's story is a sobering reminder that not all women were well-positioned to spurn unhappy but convenient marriages. In an era where women's financial survival was dependent upon men, marriage served more and more often as business arrangement than romantic one.

Anne Elliot and Captain Wentworth: A Union of Love and Maturity

Anne Elliot's eventual union with Captain Wentworth in Persuasion is a progressive, enlightened vision of marriage—one based on respect, emotional maturity, and individual agency. Unlike so many

of the mercenary unions throughout Austen's works, Anne and Wentworth's union is not a result of economic necessity or social position, but of love and maturity.

Anne's story is unique in Austen's works in the fact that she is neither a young, inexperience heroine nor a woman who has never known love, loss, and regret. She is 27 years old, older than the majority of Austen's heroines, and has experienced the consequences of her previous decision to reject Wentworth's proposal eight years ago. Her path reflects Austen's belief that marriages can realize true felicity only when individual growth and emotional fortitude exist.

Social Pressures and Persuasion

Anne and Wentworth's relationship is also a result of external social pressures, most notably the persuasion of Lady Russell, who urges Anne to reject Wentworth for his lack of wealth and social standing. As a naval officer in the period of his first proposal, Wentworth is ambitious but poor, and thus an unsuitable suitor in the eyes of Anne's upper-class family. Lady Russell, in the role of surrogate mother to Anne, advises her to keep reason paramount to passion and believes Wentworth is beneath her station.

Anne, as much as she loves, succumbs to these pressures and rejects Wentworth, and then later regrets having done so. This scene has the effect of underlining Austen's satire on a society that forces women to prioritize status and security over their own desires.

Unlike Charlotte Lucas, who marries for security, Anne does not accept a marriage of convenience after rejecting Wentworth. Instead, she remains unmarried, illustrating that she cares more for love than social norms. Over the years, she endures loneliness and heartbreak, only to realize she let society rule over her own heart.

Growth, Maturity, and Second Chances

Anne's and Wentworth's reunion several years later is marked by maturity and emotional maturity. Wentworth, now a prosperous and esteemed naval captain, reappears in Anne's life but at first remains resentful and appears to have let go. His actions toward Louisa Musgrove are proof that he is trying to prove to everyone around him that he has lost whatever he felt for Anne. But as the novel progresses, it is revealed that Anne's reserve, intellectual capacity, and emotional depth distinguish her from shallower young women of her time.

Unlike their youth, Anne and Wentworth's second courtship is free from immaturity and external pressure. This time, it is Anne who asserts her own will, and Wentworth recognizes her inner character and faithfulness. Their reconciliation is a triumph of love over convention, proving that such a thing as real love exists that can overcome time, space, and personal transformation.

Anne's Rebellion Against Patriarchal Domination

Anne's decision to return to Wentworth demonstrates her new autonomy and rejection of patriarchal power. By opting for Wentworth against the desires of her family, Anne asserts the right to make her own marriage choices, one that most women during Austen's time did not possess.

This is especially demonstrated in the turning letter scene, where Wentworth declares his enduring love:

"You pierce my soul. I am half agony, half hope. I have loved none but you." (Persuasion, Ch. 23)

This is one of the most passionate professions of love in Austen's novels, and it marks Anne and Wentworth's marriage as one of emotional honesty, mutual deference, and deep personal insight. Unlike the mercenary unions of Charlotte Lucas or even Maria Bertram (Mansfield Park), Anne's marriage is a conscious, independent choice—one that is based on her heart rather than convention.

A Model of Ideal Marriage

Anne and Wentworth's final coming together is Austen's perfect marriage model—one that is equilibrated between romantic love and emotional maturity and respect. Theirs is not a love based on fleeting attraction but on shared values, deep understanding, and perseverance.

In Anne's life, Austen promotes a woman's right to decide her own happiness, even if it means going against society. Anne's path from persuasion to self-will mirrors Austen's wider criticism of a society in which women's lives were dictated by family and class expectations.

Unlike the unhappy convenience or vanity marriages elsewhere in Austen's novels (Mr. and Mrs. Bennet, Mr. Collins and Charlotte, Sir Walter Elliot's failed marriage), Anne and Wentworth's marriage symbolises hope, second chances, and the power of love to conquer time and social obstacles.

Female Rivalries and Social Competition

While Austen indicates female solidarity, she also shows rivalries which are representative of the competitive spirit of a patriarchal society where marriage was a rare commodity.

Elizabeth Bennet and Caroline Bingley

Caroline Bingley's attempts to undermine Elizabeth Bennet in Pride and Prejudice reveal the anxieties of women competing for status and security. Caroline sees Elizabeth as a threat to her aspirations to marry Mr. Darcy, showing how social mobility and class consciousness shape women's behavior. However, Elizabeth's intelligence and integrity ultimately win out over Caroline's manipulations, suggesting Austen's bias toward honesty over social manoeuvring.

Fanny Price and Mary Crawford of Mansfield Park

Fanny Price and Mary Crawford are foils of each other in Mansfield Park. Mary, the worldly, witty woman, is the polar opposite of Fanny's integrity. Their differences regarding marriage and ambition highlight the contradictions between virtue and self-interest in the social placement of women. The failure of Mary and the ultimate success of Fanny confirm Austen's moral universe, suggesting that integrity rather than social ambition is the passport to lasting happiness.

Methodology

The research utilises a qualitative, interpretive methodology informed by feminist literary criticism to analyse the dynamics of women's associations in Jane Austen's Pride and Prejudice, Sense and Sensibility, Emma, and Persuasion. The methodology is implemented in three interrelated phases:

1. Text Selection and Corpus Formation;

Primary Texts: The novels were selected due to their representative range of Austen's exploration of female relations—romantic, familial, and platonic—and their chronological extent within her career.

Secondary Sources: Major feminist and historical scholarship (e.g. Gilbert & Gubar 1984; Johnson 1988; Kirkham 1997; Poovey 1984) offers critical paradigms and socio-historical background.

2. Analytical Framework

Feminist Literary Criticism: Building on Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar's concept of women's writing as being both restricted and resistant, and Claudia Johnson's politicized readings of Austen, the study considers how alliances work as strategies within patriarchal systems.

Thematic Close Reading: Excerpts exemplifying friendship, sisterhood, marriage negotiations, and rivalry are located, noted, and read for:

1. Agency and Resistance – Women's moments of asserting choice or quietly undermining assumptions.

2. Economic and Legal Constraints – Scenes of inheritance legislation, financial interdependence, and social convention.

3. Power Dynamics – Class and status structuring the terms of female coalition.

3. Comparative and Contextual Analysis

Intra-textual Comparison: Within the individual novels, central dyads (e.g., Elizabeth/Charlotte; Elinor/Marianne; Emma/Harriet; Anne/Wentworth) are compared to expose contradictions between individual values and social pressure.

Inter-textual Comparison: Throughout the four novels, repeated patterns—such as practical versus romantic marriage, solidarity versus competition—are tracked to chart Austen's shifting critique of patriarchy.

Historical Contextualization: Regency-period gender ideals and practices of inheritance are introduced into conversation with the texts, relying on secondary historical evidence to situate the literary analysis in its socio-legal context.

Limitations

Findings in a qualitative study depend on interpretive readings and are therefore subjective. Systematic close reading and triangulation with established scholarship are, nevertheless, intended to provide rigour and validity.

Conclusion

Jane Austen's novels depict female friendships, sisterhoods, and marriages as complicated relationships informed by social, economic, and political circumstances. Although friendships and kinships commonly supply women with emotional sustenance and solidarity, they are also bound by class systems, economic subservience, and cultural norms. Likewise, marriages in Austen's novels serve as socio-political arrangements, frequently determined by circumstance over desire. Nonetheless, Austen finally endorses marriages that balance prudence with emotional satisfaction, as witnessed in the relationship between Elizabeth Bennet and Mr. Darcy in Pride and Prejudice and between Anne Elliot and Captain Wentworth's reconciliation in Persuasion.

The sisterly bond between Elinor and Marianne Dashwood in Sense and Sensibility speaks to the significance of women's support networks in a society where women had limited agency. However, female relationships are not necessarily conflict-free. The misadventures of Emma Woodhouse trying to manipulate Harriet Smith's love life in Emma speak to the power disparities in women's friendships and how social class can divide even good intentions.

Austen also criticises the manner in which women's relationships are determined by economic survival. Charlotte Lucas's marriage to Mr. Collins, for instance, is not love but economic pragmatism, revealing the stark realities women had to endure in a patriarchal society. At the same time, Austen presents an alternative marriage model, best exemplified by Anne Elliot and Captain Wentworth. Their romance in Persuasion is a second-chance love story and one of emotional maturity, and it serves as testimony that real partnership is achievable when personal transformation and mutual understanding become priorities.

In the end, Austen's works subvert and reframe women's roles in her time. She accepts the structural restrictions imposed on women but also glorifies their strength and capacity to adapt to these limitations. Through marriage, friend, or sister, her female protagonists define niches of self-emancipation within an intolerant social matrix. And with them, Austen criticises not just the sexual injustice in the society but also imagines a future wherein women, without undermining any societal necessity, get to select not only what obtains, but also how.

Works Cited

Primary Texts

Austen, Jane. *Emma*. Edited by James Kinsley, Oxford UP, 2003.

---. *Mansfield Park*. Edited by Kathryn Sutherland, Penguin Classics, 1996.

---. *Persuasion*. Edited by Gillian Beer, Penguin Classics, 1998.

---. *Pride and Prejudice*. Edited by Vivien Jones, Penguin Classics, 2003.

---. *Sense and Sensibility*. Edited by Ros Ballaster, Penguin Classics, 2004.

Secondary Sources:

Johnson, Claudia L. *Jane Austen: Women, Politics, and the Novel*. U of Chicago P, 1988.

Kirkham, Margaret. *Jane Austen, Feminism and Fiction*. Bloomsbury, 1997.

Poovey, Mary. *The Proper Lady and the Woman Writer: Ideology as Style in the Works of Mary Wollstonecraft, Jane Austen, and Emily Brontë*. U of Chicago P, 1984.

Southam, Brian. *Jane Austen and the Literary Critics*. Routledge, 1995.